

DETECTING FINITE FLAT DIMENSION OF MODULES VIA ITERATES OF THE FROBENIUS ENDOMORPHISM

DOUGLAS J. DAILEY, SRIKANTH B. IYENGAR, AND THOMAS MARLEY

ABSTRACT. It is proved that a module M over a Noetherian ring R of positive characteristic p has finite flat dimension if there exists an integer $t \geq 0$ such that $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, f^e R) = 0$ for $t \leq i \leq t + \dim R$ and infinitely many e . This extends results of Herzog, who proved it when M is finitely generated. It is also proved that when R is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, it suffices that the Tor vanishing holds for one $e \geq \log_p e(R)$, where $e(R)$ is the multiplicity of R .

1. INTRODUCTION

The Frobenius endomorphism $f: R \rightarrow R$ of a commutative Noetherian local ring R of prime characteristic p is an effective tool for understanding the structure of such rings and the homological properties of finitely generated modules over them. A paradigm of this is a result of Kunz [12] that R is regular if and only if f^e is flat for some (equivalently, every) integer $e \geq 1$. Our work is motivated by the following module-theoretic version of Kunz's result:

There exists an integer c such that for any finitely generated R -module M the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) *The flat dimension of M is finite.*
- (2) $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, f^e R) = 0$ for all positive integers i and e .
- (3) $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, f^e R) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and infinitely many $e > 0$.
- (4) $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, f^e R) = 0$ for depth $R+1$ consecutive values of $i > 0$ and some $e > c$.

Peskine and Szpiro [15] proved that (1) \Rightarrow (2), Herzog [10] proved that (3) \Rightarrow (1), and Koh and Lee [11] proved that (4) \Rightarrow (1). Recently, the third author and M. Webb [14, Theorem 4.2] proved the equivalence of conditions (1), (2), and (3) for all R -modules, even infinitely generated ones. In their work, the argument for (3) \Rightarrow (1) is quite technical and heavily dependent on results of Enochs and Xu [8] concerning flat cotorsion modules and minimal flat resolutions.

In this work we give another proof of [14, Theorem 4.2] that circumvents [8]; more to the point, it yields a stronger result and sheds additional light also on the finitely generated case. See [4] for the definition of the flat dimension of a complex.

Theorem 1.1. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring of prime characteristic p and M an R -complex with $s := \sup H_*(M)$ finite. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The flat dimension of M is finite.*

Date: April 17, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13D05; 13D07, 13A35.

Key words and phrases. Frobenius map, flat dimension, homotopical Loewy length.

S.B.I. was partly supported by NSF grant DMS-1503044.

- (2) $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, f^e R) = 0$ for all $i > s$ and $e > 0$.
(3) There exists an integer $t \geq s$ such that $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, f^e R) = 0$ for $t \leq i \leq t + \dim R$ and for infinitely many e .

Moreover, when R is Cohen-Macaulay of multiplicity $e(R)$, it suffices that the vanishing in (3) holds for one $e \geq \log_p e(R)$.

This result is proved in Section 3. The key element in our proofs is the use of homotopical Loewy lengths of complexes, in much the same way as in the work of the second author and Avramov and C. Miller [1, Section 4]. Using rather different methods, Avramov and the second author [2] have proved that the last part of the theorem above holds without the Cohen-Macaulay hypothesis, but with a different lower bound on e .

2. HOMOTOPICAL LOEWY LENGTH

In this section we collect results on homotopical Loewy length, and their corollaries, needed in our proof of Theorem 1.1. Throughout (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) will be a local (this includes commutative and Noetherian) ring, with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and residue field k ; there is no restriction on its characteristic. We adopt the terminology and notation of [1, Section 2] regarding complexes and related constructs. In particular, given R -complexes M and N , the notation $M \simeq N$ means that M and N are isomorphic in $\mathrm{D}(R)$, the derived category of R -modules.

The *Loewy length* of an R -complex M is the number

$$\ell_R(M) := \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathfrak{m}^n M = 0\}.$$

Following [3, 6.2], the *homotopical Loewy length* of an R -complex M is the number

$$\ell_{\mathrm{D}(R)}(M) := \inf\{\ell_R(V) \mid M \simeq V \text{ in } \mathrm{D}(R)\}.$$

Given a finite sequence $\mathbf{x} \subset R$ and an R -complex M , we write $\mathrm{K}[\mathbf{x}; M]$ for the Koszul complex on \mathbf{x} , with coefficients in M . The result below extends, with an identical proof, [1, Proposition 4.1] and [3, Theorem 6.2.2] that deal with the case when \mathbf{x} generates \mathfrak{m} .

Proposition 2.1. *Let \mathbf{x} be a finite sequence in R such that $\ell_R(R/\mathbf{x}R)$ is finite. For each R -complex M there are inequalities*

$$\ell_{\mathrm{D}(R)} \mathrm{K}[\mathbf{x}; M] \leq \ell_{\mathrm{D}(R)} \mathrm{K}[\mathbf{x}; R] < \infty.$$

Proof. Let $I = (\mathbf{x})$ and $K = \mathrm{K}[\mathbf{x}; R]$. For each i , consider the subcomplex C^i of K

$$\cdots \rightarrow I^{i-2}K_2 \rightarrow I^{i-1}K_1 \rightarrow I^iK_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Since I^i annihilates K/C^i and I is \mathfrak{m} -primary, it follows that $\ell_R(K/C^i)$ is finite for each i . There exists an r such that C^i is acyclic for all $i \geq r$; cf. [7, Proposition]. Thus, for $i \geq r$ the natural map $K \rightarrow K/C^i$ is a quasi-isomorphism, and hence the homotopical Loewy length of K is finite. The inequality $\ell_{\mathrm{D}(R)}(K \otimes_R M) \leq \ell_{\mathrm{D}(R)}K$ can be verified exactly as in the proof of [1, Proposition 4.1]. \square

The following invariant plays an important role in what follows.

$$c(R) := \inf\{\ell_{\mathrm{D}(R)} \mathrm{K}[\mathbf{x}; R] \mid \mathbf{x} \text{ is an s.o.p. for } R\}.$$

Proposition 2.1 yields that $c(R)$ is finite for any R . For our purposes, we need a uniform bound on $c(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$, as \mathfrak{p} varies over the prime ideals in R . We have been

able to establish this only for Cohen-Macaulay rings; this is the content of the next result, where $e(R)$ denotes the multiplicity of R .

Lemma 2.2. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be local ring with k infinite. When R is Cohen-Macaulay, there is an inequality $c(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq e(R)$ for each \mathfrak{p} in $\text{Spec } R$.*

Proof. By a result of Lech [13], one has $e(R) \geq e(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$. Moreover, it is easy to verify that since k is infinite, so is $k(\mathfrak{p})$ for each \mathfrak{p} . It thus suffices to verify that $c(R) \leq e(R)$.

Let \mathbf{x} be a s.o.p. of R that is a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{m} ; this exists because k is infinite; see [16, Proposition 8.3.7]. Then there are inequalities

$$e(R) = \ell_R(R/(\mathbf{x})) \geq \ell_R(R/(\mathbf{x})) = \ell_{\mathbb{D}(R)} \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}; R] \geq c(R).$$

For the first equality see, for example, [16, Proposition 11.2.2], while holds the second equality holds because $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}; R] \simeq R/(\mathbf{x})$; both need the hypothesis that R is Cohen-Macaulay. \square

The preceding result bring up the following question; its import for the results in this paper will become apparent in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Question 2.3. *Is $\sup\{c(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R\}$ finite for any Noetherian ring R ?*

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is not likely to be of help in answering this question.

Remark 2.4. Let \mathbf{x} be a finite sequence in a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) . Since the ideal (\mathbf{x}) annihilates $H_*(\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}; R])$, it is immediate from definitions that there is an inequality

$$\ell_R(R/(\mathbf{x})) \leq \ell_{\mathbb{D}(R)} \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}; R].$$

Equality holds when \mathbf{x} is a regular sequence, for then $R/(\mathbf{x}) \simeq \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}; R]$; this is the main reason for the Cohen-Macaulay hypothesis in Lemma 2.2. The inequality can be strict in general.

For example, if $(\mathbf{x}) = \mathfrak{m}$, then $\ell_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) = 1$, whilst $\ell_{\mathbb{D}(R)} \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}; R] = 1$ exactly when R is regular; see [3, Corollary 6.2.3].

Here is an example where the inequality is strict for \mathbf{x} a s.o.p.

Let $R := k[[x, y]]/(x^n y, y^2)$, where $n \geq 1$ is an integer. The residue class of x in R is a s.o.p., and the Loewy length of $R/(x)$ equals 2. We claim that the homotopical Loewy length of $\mathbb{K}[x; R]$ is $n + 1$.

Indeed, the following subcomplex of $\mathbb{K}[x; R]$

$$A := 0 \rightarrow (x^n) \rightarrow (x^{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$$

is acyclic so one has $\mathbb{K}[x; R] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{K}[x; R]/A$. Since

$$\mathbb{K}[x; R]/A = 0 \rightarrow \frac{R}{(x^n)} \rightarrow \frac{R}{(x^{n+1})} \rightarrow 0$$

and the Loewy length of this complex is $n + 1$, it follows that $\ell_{\mathbb{D}(R)}(\mathbb{K}[x; R]) \leq n + 1$. On the other hand

$$H_1(\mathbb{K}[x; R]) = (x^{n-1}y) \subset R.$$

Suppose $\mathbb{K}[x; R] \simeq V$ for some R -complex V . Since $\mathbb{K}[x; R]$ is a finite complex of free R -modules, there must exist a morphism $f: \mathbb{K}[x; R] \rightarrow V$ of R -complexes with $H_*(f)$ an isomorphism. The map $f_1: K_1 = R \rightarrow V_1$ satisfies

$$0 \neq f_1(x^{n-1}y) = x^{n-1}yf(1)$$

It follows that $x^{n-1}y \cdot V_1 \neq 0$, and hence that $\ell_R V \geq \ell_R(V_1) \geq n + 1$.

As in [1, Proposition 4.3(2)] one can apply Proposition 2.1 to local homomorphisms to obtain an isomorphism relating Koszul homologies.

Proposition 2.5. *Let (S, \mathfrak{n}, l) be a local ring, \mathbf{y} a finite sequence of elements in S such that the ideal (\mathbf{y}) is \mathfrak{n} -primary, and set $c := \ell_{\mathbb{D}(S)} \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{y}; S]$.*

If $\varphi: (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n}, l)$ is a local homomorphism satisfying $\mathfrak{m}S \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^c$, then for each R -complex M , there exists an isomorphism of graded k -vector spaces

$$\mathrm{Tor}_*^R(M, \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{y}; S]) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_*^R(M, k) \otimes_k \mathrm{H}_*(\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{y}; S]). \quad \square$$

We also need the following routine computation.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of rings, $\mathbf{y} = y_1, \dots, y_d$ a sequence of elements in S . Let M be an R -complex and t an integer such that $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, S) = 0$ for $t \leq i \leq t + d$. Then $\mathrm{Tor}_{t+d}^R(M, \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}; S]) = 0$. \square*

Applied to (an appropriate composition of the Frobenius endomorphism) the next result yields an analogue of [11, Proposition 2.6] for complexes. The number of consecutive vanishing of Tor required in the case of modules is not optimal ($\dim R + 1$ as compared to $\mathrm{depth} R + 1$ in [11]), but the proof we give applies to complexes whose homology need not be finitely generated.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\varphi: (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n}, l)$ be a homomorphism of local rings such that $\varphi(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^{c(S)}$. Let M be an R -complex.*

If there is an integer t such that $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, S) = 0$ for $t \leq i \leq t + \dim S$, then

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{t+\dim S}^R(M, k) = 0.$$

If moreover the R -module $\mathrm{H}(M)$ is finitely generated and $t \geq \sup \mathrm{H}(M) - \dim S$, the flat dimension of M is at most $t + \dim S$.

Proof. Set $d := \dim S$ and let \mathbf{y} be an s.o.p of S such that $c(S) = \ell_{\mathbb{D}(S)} \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{y}; S]$. The hypothesis on φ and Lemma 2.6 yield $\mathrm{Tor}_{t+d}^R(M, \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{y}; S]) = 0$. It then follows from Proposition 2.5 that $\mathrm{Tor}_{t+d}^R(M, k) = 0$, since $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{y}; S]) \neq 0$.

Given this, and the additional hypotheses on $\mathrm{H}(M)$ and t , the desired result follows from the existence of minimal resolutions; see [4, Proposition 5.5(F)]. \square

3. FINITE FLAT DIMENSION

This section contains a proof of Theorem 1.1. In preparation, we recall that an R -complex has *finite flat dimension* if it is isomorphic in $\mathbb{D}(R)$ to a bounded complex of flat R -modules. The following result is [6, Theorem 4.1].

Remark 3.1. Let R be a Noetherian ring and M an R -complex. If there exists an integer $n \geq \sup \mathrm{H}_*(M) + \dim R$ with $\mathrm{Tor}_n^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, k(\mathfrak{p})) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} R$ then the flat dimension of M is finite.

In what follows, given an endomorphism $\phi: R \rightarrow R$ and an R -complex M , we write ${}^{\phi}M$ for M viewed as an R -complex via ϕ .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall that R is a Noetherian ring of prime characteristic p and $f: R \rightarrow R$ is the Frobenius endomorphism.

(1) \Rightarrow (2): Fix an integer $e \geq 1$ and set $r := \sup \mathrm{Tor}_*^R(M, f^e R)$. Since $\mathrm{flat\,dim}_R M$ is finite, $r < \infty$ holds. The desired result is that $r \leq s$.

Pick a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} associated to $\mathrm{Tor}_r^R(M, f^e R)$. Since Frobenius commutes with localization one has

$$\mathrm{Tor}_r^R(M, f^e R)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathrm{Tor}_r^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, f^e R_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

as $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules. Moreover $\mathrm{flat\,dim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is finite. Thus replacing R and M by their localizations at \mathfrak{p} we get that the maximal ideal of R is associated to $\mathrm{Tor}_r^R(M, f^e R)$; that is to say, the depth of the latter module is zero.

The next step uses some results concerning depth for complexes; see [9]. Given the conclusion of the last paragraph, [9, 2.7] yields the last equality below.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{depth} R - \sup \mathrm{Tor}_*^R(k, M) &= \mathrm{depth}_R(f^e R) - \sup \mathrm{Tor}_*^R(k, M) \\ &= \mathrm{depth}_R(M \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} f^e R) \\ &= -r \end{aligned}$$

The second one is by [9, Theorem 2.4]. The same results also yield

$$\mathrm{depth} R - \sup \mathrm{Tor}_*^R(k, M) = \mathrm{depth}_R M \geq -\sup \mathrm{H}_*(M) = -s$$

It follows that $-r \geq -s$, that is to say, $r \leq s$, which is the desired conclusion.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let $d = \dim R$. By Remark 3.1, it suffices to verify that

$$(3.1) \quad \mathrm{Tor}_{t+d}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, k(\mathfrak{p})) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} R.$$

Fix $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} R$ and choose e such that $p^e \geq c(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, f^e R) = 0$ for $t \leq i \leq t + d$; such an e exists by our hypothesis. As the Frobenius map commutes with localization, one gets

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, f^e(R_{\mathfrak{p}})) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \leq i \leq t + d.$$

The choice of e ensures that $f^e(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{c(R_{\mathfrak{p}})}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus, Lemma 2.7 applied to the Frobenius endomorphism $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ yields $\mathrm{Tor}_{t+d}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, k(\mathfrak{p})) = 0$, as desired.

Assume now that R is Cohen-Macaulay and that the vanishing in (3) holds for some $e \geq \log_p e(R)$. It is a routine exercise to verify that the hypotheses remain unchanged, and that the desired conclusion can be verified, after passage to faithfully flat extensions. One can thus assume that the residue field k is infinite; see [5, IX.37]. Then, by the choice of e and Lemma 2.2, one gets that $p^e \geq c(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for each \mathfrak{p} in $\mathrm{Spec} R$. Then one can argue as above to deduce that (3.1) holds, and that yields the finiteness of the flat dimension of M . \square

REFERENCES

1. L. L. Avramov, M. Hochster, S. B. Iyengar, Y. Yao, *Homological invariants of modules over contracting endomorphisms*, Math. Ann. **353** (2012), 275–291.
2. L. L. Avramov, S. B. Iyengar, *Ghost homomorphisms in local algebra*, in preparation.
3. L. L. Avramov, S. Iyengar, C. Miller, *Homology over local homomorphisms*, Am. J. Math. **128** (2006), 23 – 90.
4. L. L. Avramov, H.-B. Foxby, *Homological dimensions of unbounded complexes*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **71** (1991), 129–155.
5. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique: algèbre commutative, chapitres 8 et 9*, Springer, Berlin, 2006. Reprint of the 1983 original.
6. L. W. Christensen, S. B. Iyengar, T. Marley, *Rigidity of Ext and Tor with coefficients in residue fields of a commutative noetherian ring*, preprint 2016; available at arxiv.org/pdf/1611.03280v1.pdf
7. J. A. Eagon, M. Fraser, *A note on the Koszul complex*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 251–252.

8. E. Enochs, J. Xu, *On invariants dual to the Bass numbers*, Proc. Am. Math Soc. **125** (1997), 951–960.
9. H.-B. Foxby, S. Iyengar, *Depth and amplitude of unbounded complexes*, Commutative algebra and its interaction with algebraic geometry (Grenoble-Lyon 2001), Contemp. Math. **331**, American Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2003; pp. 119–137.
10. J. Herzog, *Ringe der Charakteristik p und Frobeniusfunktoren*, Math. Z. **140** (1974), 67–78.
11. J. Koh, K. Lee, *Some restrictions on the maps in minimal resolutions*, J. Algebra **202** (1998), 671–689.
12. E. Kunz, *Characterizations of regular local rings for characteristic p* , Am. J. Math. **91** (1969), 772–784.
13. C. Lech, *Inequalities related to certain couples of local rings*, Acta Math. **112** ((1964), 69–89.
14. T. Marley, M. Webb, *The acyclicity of the Frobenius functor for modules of finite flat dimension*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **220** (2016), no. 8, 2886–2896.
15. C. Peskine, L. Szpiro, *Dimension projective finie et cohomologie locale. Applications à la démonstration de conjectures de M. Auslander, H. Bass et A. Grothendieck*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 42 (1973), 47–119.
16. I. Swanson, C. Huneke, *Integral closures of ideals, ring, and modules*, London Math. Soc. LNS no. 336, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

D.J.D. UNIVERSITY OF DALLAS, IRVING, TEXAS 75062, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `ddailey@udallas.edu`

URL: `http://www.udallas.edu`

S.B.I. UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `iyengar@math.utah.edu`

URL: `http://www.math.utah.edu/~iyengar`

T.M. UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA-LINCOLN, LINCOLN, NE 68588, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `tmarley1@unl.edu`

URL: `http://www.math.unl.edu/~tmarley`