

CANONICAL RESOLUTIONS OVER KOSZUL ALGEBRAS

ELEONORE FABER, MARTINA JUHNKE-KUBITZKE, HAYDEE LINDO,
CLAUDIA MILLER, REBECCA R.G., AND ALEXANDRA SECELEANU

ABSTRACT. We generalize Buchsbaum and Eisenbud's resolutions for the powers of the maximal ideal of a polynomial ring to resolve powers of the homogeneous maximal ideal over graded Koszul algebras.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Koszul algebras and the Priddy resolution	3
3. Resolutions via the enveloping algebra	4
4. The case of Koszul algebras	6
5. Minimal resolutions for powers of the maximal ideal	8
6. Examples	13
References	16

1. INTRODUCTION

Koszul algebras show up naturally and abundantly in algebra and topology. They were first introduced by Priddy in 1970 as algebras for which the bar resolution, which is normally far from minimal, admits a reduction to a comparatively small subcomplex; see [Pri70]. Priddy's work explained contemporaneous ideas on restricted Lie algebras in the work of May and, separately, that of Bousfield, Curtis, Kan, Quillen, Rector, and Schlesinger; see [May66, BCK⁺66]. Priddy was an algebraic topologist, but Koszul algebras have since been linked to several fundamental concepts across mathematics where they appear naturally and are studied extensively in fields as diverse as topology [GKM98], representation theory [BGS96], commutative algebra [Frö99], algebraic geometry [BGG78], noncommutative geometry [Man88], and number theory [Pos14]. For a general overview, see the monograph by Polishchuk and Positselski [PP05].

An interesting feature of Koszul algebras is that they appear in pairs: every Koszul algebra A has a dual algebra $A^!$ which is also a Koszul algebra (see Section 2). The prototypical example of such a Koszul pair is a polynomial

Date: November 26, 2020.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 16E05, Secondary: 13D02.

Key words and phrases. Koszul algebra, minimal free resolution, Betti numbers.

algebra S over a field, together with the corresponding exterior algebra Λ . The associated theory of Koszul duality is a generalization of the duality underlying the Bernstein–Gelfand–Gelfand correspondence [BGG78] describing coherent sheaves on projective space in terms of modules over the exterior algebra. This exemplifies the philosophy that facts relating the symmetric and exterior algebras often have Koszul duality counterparts.

In this paper we extend Priddy’s methods of constructing free resolutions over Koszul algebras and generalize Buchsbaum and Eisenbud’s resolutions in [BE75] to resolve powers of the homogeneous maximal ideal over Koszul algebras. In particular, in [Pri70] Priddy exploits a natural differential on $A \otimes_k A^!$ to give an explicit construction for the linear minimal graded free resolution of the residue field of a graded Koszul algebra; see Definition 2.5. In this paper, we extend this construction to a family of acyclic complexes that yields highly structured resolutions of the powers of the homogeneous maximal ideal over Koszul algebras; see Definition 4.1. Since these complexes are typically not minimal, we also seek to determine their minimal counterparts. To achieve this, we take inspiration from results that describe structured resolutions over a polynomial ring S constructed starting from the Koszul complex (exterior algebra) Λ and its generalizations; see [BE75]. We provide analogs of these results using any pair of Koszul dual algebras, A and $A^!$, instead of S and Λ .

Our main result generalizes the canonical resolutions for the powers of the homogeneous maximal ideal, constructed over a polynomial ring S by Buchsbaum and Eisenbud in [BE75], to obtain minimal free resolutions for powers of the homogeneous maximal ideal of a graded Koszul algebra. In contrast to the situation over S , these are in general infinite resolutions. This allows us to obtain an explicit formula for the graded Betti numbers defined by $\beta_{i,j}(\mathfrak{m}^a) = \dim_k \operatorname{Tor}_i(\mathfrak{m}^a, k)_j$. The following is a combination of Theorem 5.3 and Corollary 5.5.

Theorem. *If A is a graded Koszul algebra with homogeneous maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , the complexes*

$$\mathbb{L}_a^A : \quad \dots \rightarrow L_{n,a}^A \xrightarrow{\partial'_n} L_{n-1,a}^A \xrightarrow{\partial'_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} L_{0,a}^A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_a} \mathfrak{m}^a \rightarrow 0,$$

defined in equation (5.3) with the augmentation map ε_a defined in equation (5.4) are minimal free resolutions of the powers \mathfrak{m}^a with $a \geq 1$.

The nonzero graded Betti numbers of the powers of \mathfrak{m} are given by

$$\beta_{n,n+a}^A(\mathfrak{m}^a) = \sum_{i=1}^a (-1)^{i+1} \dim_k(A^!_{n+i}) \dim_k(A_{a-i}).$$

In particular, the minimal graded resolution of \mathfrak{m}^a is a -linear.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we provide background on Koszul algebras and the Priddy complex. In Section 3, we explain how to obtain a free solution of a module M from a resolution of the ring over

its enveloping algebra. In Section 4, we rewrite this resolution as the totalization of a double complex, in the case that the module is a power of the homogeneous maximal ideal and the ring is a Koszul algebra. In Section 5, we give the minimal resolution and Betti numbers for the powers of the homogeneous maximal ideal over a Koszul algebra. In Section 6 we apply our construction to several specific Koszul algebras A to obtain explicit formulas for the Betti numbers of \mathfrak{m}^a .

2. KOSZUL ALGEBRAS AND THE PRIDY RESOLUTION

Throughout k is a field and A is a not necessarily commutative graded k -algebra having finite-dimensional graded components with $A_i = 0$ for $i < 0$ and $A_0 = k$.

Definition 2.1 ([Pri70, Chapter 2]). We say that A is *Koszul* if k admits a linear graded free resolution over A , i.e., a graded free resolution P_\bullet in which P_i is generated in degree i .

Classes of graded Koszul algebras arise from: quadratic complete intersections [Tat57], quotients of a polynomial ring by quadratic monomial ideals [Frö99], quotients of a polynomial ring by homogeneous ideals which have a quadratic Gröbner basis, and from Koszul filtrations [CTV01]; see, for example, the survey paper by Conca [Con14].

We now focus on quadratic algebras in order to define Koszul duality.

Definition 2.2 ([PP05, Chapter 1, Section 2]). Let A be a graded k -algebra. We say that A is quadratic if $A = T(V)/Q$, where V is a k -vector space, $T(V)$ is the tensor algebra of V , and Q is a quadratic ideal of $T(V)$.

If A is a quadratic algebra, its *quadratic dual algebra* is defined by

$$A^\dagger = \frac{T(V^*)}{Q^\perp}$$

where $V^* = \text{Hom}_k(V, k)$ and Q^\perp is the quadratic ideal generated by the orthogonal complement to Q_2 in $T(V^*)_2 = V^* \otimes_k V^*$ with respect to the natural pairing between $V \otimes V$ and $V^* \otimes V^*$ given by

$$\langle v_1 \otimes v_2, v_1^* \otimes v_2^* \rangle = \langle v_1, v_1^* \rangle \langle v_2, v_2^* \rangle.$$

Choosing dual bases x_1, \dots, x_d and x_1^*, \dots, x_d^* for V and V^* respectively yields that $T(V) = k\langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle$ and $T(V^*) = k\langle x_1^*, \dots, x_d^* \rangle$ are polynomial rings in noncommuting variables. This allows one to compute Q^\perp given a quadratic ideal $Q \subseteq T(V)$ using linear algebra, as described for example in [MP15, Section 8].

Graded Koszul algebras are quadratic (see, for example, [PP05, Chapter 2, Definition 1]) and the duality of quadratic algebras restricts well to the class of Koszul algebras since A and A^\dagger are Koszul simultaneously [PP05, Chapter 2, Corollary 3.2].

Example 2.3. The main example of Koszul dual algebras is given by the symmetric algebra on a vector space V

$$S = k[x_1, \dots, x_d] = \frac{k\langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle}{(x_i x_j - x_j x_i, 1 \leq i < j \leq d)}$$

and the exterior algebra on V^*

$$S^\dagger = \Lambda = \frac{k\langle x_1^*, \dots, x_d^* \rangle}{((x_i^*)^2, x_i^* x_j^* + x_j^* x_i^*, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq d)}.$$

Example 2.4. For the following commutative Koszul algebra

$$A = \frac{k[x, y, z]}{(x^2, xy, y^2)} = \frac{k\langle x, y, z \rangle}{(x^2, xy, y^2, xz - zx, xy - yx, yz - zy)},$$

the dual algebra is given by

$$A^\dagger = \frac{k\langle x^*, y^*, z^* \rangle}{((z^*)^2, x^* z^* + z^* x^*, y^* z^* + z^* y^*)}.$$

This pair of algebras are further discussed in Example 6.1.

Definition 2.5. The *Priddy complex* [Pri70] of a quadratic algebra A is the complex P_\bullet^A whose i -th term is given by

$$P_i^A = A \otimes_k (A^\dagger)_i^*,$$

and the differential is defined by right multiplication by $\sum_{i=0}^d x_i \otimes x_i^*$, where multiplication by $x_i^* \in A^\dagger$ on $(A^\dagger)^*$ is defined as the dual of multiplication by x_i^* on A^\dagger .

2.6. The importance of the Priddy complex lies in the fact that P_\bullet^A is acyclic if and only if A is Koszul; see [PP05, Chapter 2, Corollary 3.2]. Moreover, when A is Koszul the Priddy complex, also called the generalized Koszul resolution, is a minimal free resolution of the residue field k of A . This will be the base case in the proof that our construction in Section 4 is a resolution.

3. RESOLUTIONS VIA THE ENVELOPING ALGEBRA

In this section, we review how one obtains a free resolution of any A -module M from a resolution of A over its enveloping algebra. In general, one obtains a resolution that is far from minimal. We remedy this in Sections 4 and 5 over Koszul algebras A for the modules \mathfrak{m}^a (and hence A/\mathfrak{m}^a).

3.1. Given a k -algebra A , its enveloping algebra is given by $A^e = A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}$. A left A^e -module structure is equivalent to an A - A -bimodule structure via

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot m = a \cdot m \cdot b$$

We consider A as an A^e -module via the multiplication map

$$A^e = A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A.$$

Consider a graded free resolution¹ of A over A^e and note that any free left A^e -module F can be rewritten as

$$F = A^e \otimes_k V = A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}} \otimes_k V \cong A \otimes_k V \otimes_k A$$

for some vector space V , where the rightmost expression is thought of as an A - A -bimodule via the outside two factors. Thus the resolution will be of the form

$$(3.1) \quad \cdots \rightarrow A \otimes_k V_2 \otimes_k A \rightarrow A \otimes_k V_1 \otimes_k A \rightarrow A \otimes_k A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A \rightarrow 0,$$

where the augmentation ε from $A \otimes_k A$ to A is given by multiplication across the tensor.

We observe that $A \otimes_k k \otimes_k A \cong A \otimes_k A$. Thus setting $V_0 = k$, we may write the resolution as a quasi-isomorphism of A^e -modules

$$A \otimes_k V. \otimes_k A \xrightarrow{\cong} A$$

Next we show how to construct an A -free resolution for arbitrary A -modules M using (3.1). This is well known; we include it because the construction is the basis of our next step in Section 4.

Proposition 3.2. *If M is a graded A -module and $A \otimes_k V. \otimes_k A \xrightarrow{\cong} A$ is a free resolution of A over A^e , then $A \otimes_k V. \otimes_k M \xrightarrow{\cong} M$ is a graded A -free resolution of M .*

Proof. Note that the complex $A \otimes_k V. \otimes_k A$ and the trivial complex A both consist of free A -modules (although the latter is not free as an A^e -module), so the quasi-isomorphism $A \otimes_k V. \otimes_k A \xrightarrow{\cong} A$ is actually a homotopy equivalence over A and hence remains so after tensoring over A with arbitrary A -modules. To see this, note that the augmented complex of free A -modules (3.1) is contractible, that is, homotopy equivalent to 0 (equivalently, it is split exact over A). But this complex is the mapping cone of the chain map $A \otimes_k V. \otimes_k A \rightarrow A$.

Therefore, upon tensoring (3.1) on the right over A with a left A -module M , one obtains a quasi-isomorphism of left A -modules

$$\begin{aligned} (A \otimes_k V. \otimes_k A) \otimes_A M &\xrightarrow{\cong} A \otimes_A M \\ A \otimes_k V. \otimes_k M &\xrightarrow{\cong} M \end{aligned}$$

Viewing $V. \otimes_k M$ as a (rather large) k -vector space, one sees that the complex on the left consists of free A -modules, giving a free A -resolution of M . \square

Remark 3.3. Suppose A is local (or standard graded) k -algebra with (homogeneous) maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . The resolutions obtained in Proposition 3.2 are in general not minimal (respectively, minimal graded) resolutions even when one starts with a minimal (respectively, minimal graded) resolution of A over A^e .

¹One can always take the bar resolution of A over its enveloping algebra, but that is usually far from minimal.

This is because the modules in the resolution $A \otimes_k V \otimes_k M$ are considered as (free) A -modules via the first factor and elements m of $\mathfrak{m}M$ are part of the k -basis of M . More explicitly, given k -bases B_V of V and B_M of M , the elements $1 \otimes v \otimes m$ with $v \in B_V$ and $m \in B_M$ form a basis for $A \otimes_k V \otimes_k M$. For example, for the explicit resolution \mathbb{X}_a^A given in Corollary 4.4, although ∂' is minimal, ∂'' is clearly not.

4. THE CASE OF KOSZUL ALGEBRAS

In this section, under the further assumption that A is a Koszul k -algebra, we write the A -free resolution of A/\mathfrak{m}^a obtained in the previous section as the totalization of a certain double complex.

First we recall the minimal graded resolution of A over A^e following the presentation in [VdB94, Section 3]. It is a symmetrization of the resolution of k over A found by Priddy in [Pri70], which is presented in Definition 2.5.

Definition 4.1. Let A be a Koszul k -algebra with dual Koszul algebra A^\dagger , where multiplication by $x_i^* \in A^\dagger$ on $(A^\dagger)^*$ is defined as the dual of multiplication by x_i^* on A^\dagger .

Define free A -modules

$$F_n = A \otimes_k (A^\dagger)_n^* \otimes_k A$$

and differential maps

$$\partial_n = (\partial')_n + (-1)^n (\partial'')_n$$

where

$$\partial' = \text{right multiplication by } \sum_{i=0}^d x_i \otimes x_i^* \otimes 1$$

(which will form our vertical maps) and

$$\partial'' = \text{left multiplication by } \sum_{i=0}^d 1 \otimes x_i^* \otimes x_i$$

(which will form our horizontal maps)². Then the complex

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbb{F}^A : \cdots \rightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A \rightarrow 0$$

augmented by the multiplication map ε from $F_0 = A \otimes_k k \otimes_k A \cong A \otimes_k A$ to A is the minimal graded free resolution of A over A^e [VdB94, Proposition 3.1].

Remark 4.2. Here is the explicit connection with Priddy's resolution: tensoring (4.1) on the right over A with k gives Priddy's minimal resolution of k as a left A -module in Definition 2.5, also called the generalized Koszul resolution. Tensoring (4.1) on the left gives the minimal resolution of k as a right A -module.

²There is a misprint in [VdB94] with regards to this map.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\
& \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & \\
A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_a \otimes_k A_0 & \xrightarrow{(-1)^a \partial''} & A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_{a-1} \otimes_k A_1 & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{a-1} \partial''} & A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_{a-2} \otimes_k A_2 & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{a-2} \partial''} & \dots \\
& \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & \\
A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_{a-1} \otimes_k A_0 & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{a-1} \partial''} & A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_{a-2} \otimes_k A_1 & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{a-2} \partial''} & A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_{a-3} \otimes_k A_2 & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{a-3} \partial''} & \dots \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\
& \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & \\
A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_2 \otimes_k A_0 & \xrightarrow{\partial''} & A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_1 \otimes_k A_1 & \xrightarrow{-\partial''} & A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_0 \otimes_k A_2 & & \\
& \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & & \\
A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_1 \otimes_k A_0 & \xrightarrow{-\partial''} & A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_0 \otimes_k A_1 & & & & \\
& \partial' \downarrow & & & & & \\
A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_0 \otimes_k A_0 & & & & & &
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. The minimal resolution of a Koszul algebra A over A^e .

4.3. Considering the graded strands of (4.1), one can write this complex as a totalization of an anticommutative double complex, which we also call \mathbb{F}^A , of free A -modules given by the free A -modules

$$(4.2) \quad F_{ij} = A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_i \otimes_k A_j$$

where we are using the first tensor factor as “coefficients” and the maps ∂' and $\pm\partial''$ of Definition 4.1 become the vertical and horizontal maps, respectively in the diagram (4). Note that i is the homological degree in the complex \mathbb{F}^A .

Next we apply the discussion from Section 3 to the A -module A/\mathfrak{m}^a and arrange its resolution into a double complex similarly to the one above.

Corollary 4.4. *Totalization of the truncation of the bicomplex (4.2) obtained by removing the columns with index $j \geq a \geq 1$ gives a graded A -free resolution*

$$(4.3) \quad \mathbb{X}_a^A = A \otimes_k (A^!)^* \otimes_k A_{\leq a-1} \xrightarrow{\cong} A/\mathfrak{m}^a.$$

Proof. Applying Proposition 3.2 by tensoring the resolution of A over A^e on the right over A with A/\mathfrak{m}^a gives a graded A -free resolution

$$\mathbb{X}_a^A = A \otimes_k (A^!)^* \otimes_k A/\mathfrak{m}^a \xrightarrow{\cong} A/\mathfrak{m}^a$$

Since

$$A/\mathfrak{m}^a = A_{\leq a-1}$$

the resolution becomes

$$\mathbb{X}_a^A = A \otimes_k (A^!)^* \otimes_k A_{\leq a-1} \xrightarrow{\cong} A/\mathfrak{m}^a$$

Viewing graded strands, one can write this as a totalization of an anticommutative double complex of free A -modules given by the terms $F_{ij} = A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_i \otimes_k A_j$ with $i \geq 0$, $0 \leq j \leq a-1$ of (4.2) with the differentials inherited from those described in Definition 4.1. \square

We display the diagram for the A -free graded resolution \mathbb{X}_a^A of A/\mathfrak{m}^a obtained in Corollary 4.4 below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\
& \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & \\
A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_a \otimes_k A_0 & \xrightarrow{(-1)^a \partial''} & A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_{a-1} \otimes_k A_1 & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{a-1} \partial''} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial''} & A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_1 \otimes_k A_{a-1} \\
& \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & \\
A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_{a-1} \otimes_k A_0 & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{a-1} \partial''} & A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_{a-2} \otimes_k A_1 & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{a-2} \partial''} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{-\partial''} & A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_0 \otimes_k A_{a-1} \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
& \vdots & & \vdots & & & \\
& \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & & \\
A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_1 \otimes_k A_0 & \xrightarrow{-\partial''} & A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_0 \otimes_k A_1 & & & & \\
& \partial' \downarrow & & & & & \\
A \otimes_k (A^!)^*_0 \otimes_k A_0 & & & & & &
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. The the A -free graded resolution \mathbb{X}_a^A of A/\mathfrak{m}^a .

Remark 4.5. The resolution \mathbb{X}_a^A is minimal for $a = 1$ in which case \mathbb{X}_a^A recovers the Priddy complex without its first term. However for $a \geq 2$ this resolution is typically non minimal as the rows are split acyclic; see 5.1. The goal of Section 5 is to produce a minimal free resolution for A/\mathfrak{m}^a using \mathbb{X}_a^A .

5. MINIMAL RESOLUTIONS FOR POWERS OF THE MAXIMAL IDEAL

We introduce complexes \mathbb{L}_a^A inspired by work of Buchsbaum and Eisenbud [BE75]. These will turn out to be the minimal resolutions for the powers of the homogeneous maximal ideal of a graded Koszul algebra.

5.1. We define free A -modules analogous to the Schur modules used by Buchsbaum and Eisenbud in their resolutions (the case where A is a polynomial ring) in [BE75]. First note that the rows of the double complex (4.2) except the bottom one are exact; in fact, they can be viewed as the result of applying the exact base change $A \otimes_k -$ to the strands of the dual Priddy complex, all of which are exact except the one whose homology is k (in the case where A is a polynomial ring, it is applied to the strands of the tautological Koszul complex; see [MR18, Section 1.4]). These complexes are contractible, as they consist of free A -modules, and so all kernels, images, and cokernels of the differentials are free as well.

Define for $a > 0$ the following free A -modules

$$(5.1) \quad L_{n,a}^A = \operatorname{im} \left(A \otimes_k A_{n+1}^{1*} \otimes_k A_{a-1} \xrightarrow{(-1)^{n+1}\partial''} A \otimes_k A_n^{1*} \otimes_k A_a \right)$$

$$(5.2) \quad = \operatorname{ker} \left(A \otimes_k A_n^{1*} \otimes_k A_a \xrightarrow{(-1)^n\partial''} A \otimes_k A_{n-1}^{1*} \otimes_k A_{a+1} \right).$$

The vertical differentials ∂' in the diagram 4 induce maps on these modules, which we again denote by ∂' , to yield a complex

$$(5.3) \quad \mathbb{L}_a^A: \dots \rightarrow L_{n,a}^A \xrightarrow{\partial'_n} L_{n-1,a}^A \xrightarrow{\partial'_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} L_{0,a}^A.$$

This complex is minimal in the sense that $\partial'(L_{n,a}^A) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}L_{n-1,a}^A$ for all n since the same property holds for the columns of Diagram 4 viewed as complexes with differential ∂' .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & \partial'_{n+1} \downarrow \\
 A \otimes_k (A^1)_{n+a}^* \otimes_k A_0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_k (A^1)_{n+a-1}^* \otimes_k A_1 & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_k (A^1)_{n+1}^* \otimes_k A_{a-1} \longrightarrow L_{n,a}^A \\
 \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & \partial'_n \downarrow \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & \partial'_1 \downarrow \\
 A \otimes_k (A^1)_a^* \otimes_k A_0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_k (A^1)_{a-1}^* \otimes_k A_1 & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_k (A^1)_1^* \otimes_k A_{a-1} \longrightarrow L_{0,a}^A \\
 \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow \\
 A \otimes_k (A^1)_{a-1}^* \otimes_k A_0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_k (A^1)_{a-2}^* \otimes_k A_1 & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_k (A^1)_0^* \otimes_k A_{a-1} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & & & \\
 \partial' \downarrow & & \partial' \downarrow & & & & \\
 A \otimes_k (A^1)_1^* \otimes_k A_0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_k (A^1)_0^* \otimes_k A_1 & & & & \\
 \partial' \downarrow & & & & & & \\
 A \otimes_k (A^1)_0^* \otimes_k A_0 & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 3. The construction of the complex \mathbb{L}_a^A .

Lemma 5.2. *The complex \mathbb{L}_a^A can be augmented by the evaluation map*

$$(5.4) \quad \varepsilon_a: L_{0,a}^A = A \otimes_k A_0^{1*} \otimes_k A_a \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^a$$

which is the restriction of the multiplication map

$$\varepsilon: A \otimes_k A_0^{1*} \otimes_k A \rightarrow A \text{ sending } r \otimes v \otimes s \mapsto rvs.$$

Proof. As stated in Definition 4.1, ε is an augmentation map $\mathbb{F}_\bullet^A \rightarrow A$. We verify explicitly that ε satisfies the required property $\varepsilon \circ (\partial' - \partial'') = 0$ below:

$$\varepsilon \circ (\partial' - \partial'')(r \otimes v \otimes s) = \varepsilon(rv \otimes 1 \otimes s - r \otimes 1 \otimes vs) = rvs - rvs = 0.$$

Since $\partial''|_{L_{1,a}^A} = 0$ it follows from the computation above that $\varepsilon \circ \partial'(L_{1,a}^A) = 0$, hence the complex \mathbb{L}_a^A can be augmented to

$$\cdots \rightarrow L_{n,a}^A \xrightarrow{\partial'_n} L_{n-1,a}^A \xrightarrow{\partial'_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} L_{0,a}^A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_a} \mathfrak{m}^a \rightarrow 0.$$

□

The following is the main result of our paper. The case when A is an exterior algebra has appeared previously in [EFS03, Corollary 5.3]. The proof therein uses the self-injectivity of the exterior algebra in a crucial manner, and therefore does not seem to extend to all Koszul algebras.

Theorem 5.3. *If A is a Koszul algebra, the complexes \mathbb{L}_a^A defined in equation (5.3) with the augmentation map ε_a defined in (5.4) are minimal free resolutions for the powers \mathfrak{m}^a of the maximal ideal with $a \geq 1$.*

Proof. The complexes \mathbb{L}_a^A are minimal by the discussion in 5.1. The proof of the remaining claims is by induction on $a \geq 1$.

The definition of $L_{n,1}$ in (5.1) shows that there are isomorphisms

$$L_{n,1}^A \cong A \otimes_k A_{n+1}^{!*} \otimes_k k \cong A \otimes_k A_{n+1}^{!*},$$

since the map ∂'' is injective on $\mathbb{F}_{n+1,0}^A$ for $n \geq 0$, the leftmost column of the double complex in Diagram 4 (this column considered by itself is in fact \mathbb{X}_1^A). Therefore there is an isomorphism of complexes $\mathbb{L}_1^A \cong (\mathbb{X}_1^A)_{\geq 1}[-1]$, where $(\mathbb{X}_1^A)_{\geq 1}$ denotes the truncation of the complex \mathbb{X}_1^A by removing the homological degree 0 component. Since $\mathbb{X}_1^A = P_\bullet^A$ is just the Priddy complex (upon noting that $\partial': (\mathbb{X}_1^A)_1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{X}_1^A)_0$ agrees with ε under the identification $(\mathbb{X}_1^A)_0 = A \otimes_k A_0^{!*} \otimes_k A_0 \cong A$), we see that $(\mathbb{X}_1^A)_{\geq 1} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathfrak{m}$ is a resolution of \mathfrak{m} by 2.6 and the base case that $\mathbb{L}_1^A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathfrak{m}$ is a minimal resolution of \mathfrak{m} follows.

For arbitrary $a \geq 2$, (5.1) gives a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{a-1}^A \rightarrow P_\bullet^A \otimes_k A_{a-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_a^A[-1] \rightarrow 0,$$

where $P_n^A \otimes_k A_{a-1} = A \otimes_k A_n^{!*} \otimes_k A_{a-1}$ is the $(a-1)$ -st column of the double complex (4). The notation signifies that this column can be viewed as the Priddy complex P_\bullet^A tensored with A_{a-1} . From the long exact sequence in homology induced by the short exact sequence of complexes displayed above we deduce

$$H_i(\mathbb{L}_a^A) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \geq 1 \\ \ker(H_0(\mathbb{L}_{a-1}^A) \rightarrow H_0(P_\bullet^A \otimes_k A_{a-1})) & i = 0. \end{cases}$$

It remains to show that $H_0(\mathbb{L}_a^A) = \mathfrak{m}^a$. Indeed, the induced map in homology

$$H_0(\mathbb{L}_{a-1}^A) \rightarrow H_0(P_\bullet^A \otimes_k A_{a-1}) \cong H_0(P_\bullet^A) \otimes_k A_{a-1}$$

can be recovered as the bottom map in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_{0,a-1}^A & \xlongequal{\quad} & A \otimes_k (A^\dagger)_0^* \otimes_k A_{a-1} \\ \downarrow \varepsilon_{a-1} & & \downarrow \varepsilon_0 \otimes \text{id}_{A_{a-1}} \\ \mathfrak{m}^{a-1} & \longrightarrow & k \otimes_k A_{a-1} \cong \mathfrak{m}^{a-1}/\mathfrak{m}^a. \end{array}$$

Commutativity of the diagram yields that for $r \otimes s \otimes v \in \mathbb{L}_{0,a}^A$ the induced map in homology is given by

$$\varepsilon_a(r \otimes s \otimes v) = rsv \mapsto \bar{r}sv,$$

where \bar{r} is the coset of r in $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$. Thus we obtain the desired identification

$$\begin{aligned} & \ker(\mathrm{H}_0(\mathbb{L}_{a-1}^A) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_0(\mathbb{P}_\bullet^A \otimes_k A_{a-1})) \\ &= \varepsilon_a(\mathrm{Span}\{r \otimes s \otimes v \mid r \in \mathfrak{m}, s \in k, v \in A_{a-1}\}) \\ &\cong \mathfrak{m}^a. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 5.4. Recall that rows of the double complex (4.2) except the bottom one are exact; in fact, they can be viewed as the result of applying a base change to the strands of the dual Priddy complex; see 5.1. These complexes are contractible, as they consist of free R -modules. Hence for $n \geq 1$ the $(n+a)$ -th row of (4), counting from the bottom (as the 0th row), is quasi-isomorphic to $L_{n,a}^A$ and the lower rows (numbered 1 through a) are split exact. The acyclic assembly lemma [Wei94, Lemma 2.7.3] yields quasi-isomorphisms $(\mathbb{X}_a^A)_{\geq 1}[-1] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{L}_a^A$ for $a \geq 1$. As shown in Corollary 4.4 there are quasi-isomorphisms $\mathbb{X}_a^A \xrightarrow{\simeq} A/\mathfrak{m}^a$, hence also $(\mathbb{X}_a^A)_{\geq 1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathfrak{m}^a$. Transitivity yields a quasi-isomorphism $\mathbb{L}_a^A \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathfrak{m}^a$.

This approach gives an alternate proof for our main result, but it only determines the augmentation map up to an isomorphism on its target. We prefer the more explicit approach of Theorem 5.3, which specifies the augmentation map ε_a .

The following corollary of Theorem 5.3 gives an explicit formula for the Betti numbers of powers of the maximal ideal of a Koszul algebra. That \mathfrak{m}^a has an a -linear minimal free resolution also follows from [S01, Theorem 3.2]. Once the linearity of this resolution has been established, [PP05, Chapter 2, Corollary 3.2 (iiiM)] gives an alternate interpretation for the Betti numbers of \mathfrak{m}^a in terms of the graded components of a quadratic dual module for the A -module \mathfrak{m}^a . However this description seems less amenable to explicit computations than our methods.

Corollary 5.5. *If (A, \mathfrak{m}) is a Koszul algebra, the nonzero graded Betti numbers of the powers of \mathfrak{m} are given by*

$$\beta_{n,n+a}^A(\mathfrak{m}^a) = \sum_{i=1}^a (-1)^{i+1} \dim_k(A^!_{n+i}) \dim_k(A_{a-i}).$$

In particular, the minimal graded resolution of \mathfrak{m}^a is a -linear.

Consequently, the nonzero Betti numbers of A/\mathfrak{m}^a are given by

$$\beta_{n,j}^A(A/\mathfrak{m}^a) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^a (-1)^{i+1} \dim_k(A^!_{n+i-1}) \dim_k(A_{a-i}) & n > 0, j = n + a \\ 1 & n = j = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The fact that minimal free resolution of \mathfrak{m}^a is a -linear follows from the Theorem 5.3 and the description of the differential ∂' of the complex (5.3) in view of the fact that there is a splitting of the map ∂'' to each $L_{n,a}$ identifying a basis of it with part of a basis of the last column of \mathbb{X}_a^A . Consider the rows of the truncated complex \mathbb{X}_a^A when augmented to the relevant $L_{n,a}$ as follows.

$$0 \longrightarrow A \otimes_k (A^!_{n+a})^* \otimes_k A_0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A \otimes_k (A^!_{n+1})^* \otimes_k A_{a-1} \longrightarrow L_{n,a}^A \longrightarrow 0$$

The exactness of this complex, as explained in Remark 5.4, yields the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{n,n+a}^A(\mathfrak{m}^a) &= \text{rank}_A(L_{n,a}^A) = \sum_{i=1}^a (-1)^{i+1} \text{rank}_A \left(A \otimes_k (A^!_{n+i})^* \otimes_k A_{a-i} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^a (-1)^{i+1} \dim_k(A^!_{n+i}) \dim_k(A_{a-i}) \end{aligned}$$

and the vanishing of the remaining Betti numbers is due to the fact that the minimal resolution in Theorem 5.3 is a -linear. \square

In contrast to Theorem 5.3, for non Koszul algebras the A -free resolution of A/\mathfrak{m}^a afforded by Corollary 4.4 cannot be minimized by the procedure presented in this section. We illustrate the obstructions by means of the following example.

Example 5.6. Let $A = k[x]/(x^3)$, which is a non Koszul (also non quadratic) algebra. The enveloping algebra is

$$A^e = A \otimes_k A = k[x]/(x^3) \otimes_k k[y]/(y^3) \cong k[x, y]/(x^3, y^3)$$

and the A^e -module structure induced on A by the (surjective) multiplication map $A^e = A \otimes_k A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A$ yields the isomorphism $A \cong A^e/(x-y)$. Therefore A has the following two-periodic resolution over the complete intersection A^e

$$\cdots \rightarrow A^e \xrightarrow{x-y} A^e \xrightarrow{x^2+xy+y^2} A^e \xrightarrow{x-y} A^e \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A \rightarrow 0.$$

Rewriting this complex in the form of Section 3 gives

$$\cdots \rightarrow A \otimes_k V_2 \otimes_k A \xrightarrow{\partial} A \otimes_k V_1 \otimes_k A \xrightarrow{\partial} A \otimes_k V_0 \otimes_k A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A \rightarrow 0,$$

where each V_i is a one dimensional vector space with basis $\{e_i\}$ and for $i > 0$

$$\partial(1 \otimes e_i \otimes 1) = \begin{cases} x \otimes e_{i-1} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes e_{i-1} \otimes y & \text{for } i \text{ odd} \\ x^2 \otimes e_{i-1} \otimes 1 + x \otimes e_{i-1} \otimes y + 1 \otimes e_{i-1} \otimes y^2 & \text{for } i \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

The conclusion of Corollary 4.4 still holds and indicates that the truncated complexes \mathbb{X}_a^A are (non minimal) free resolutions for A/\mathfrak{m}^a . But by contrast to the Koszul case, we see that arranging by grading as in (4.2) yields a diagram that is not a bicomplex and whose rows are no longer exact (or even complexes!), and so in the truncated complex (4.3) the rows are no longer acyclic. Correspondingly, the modules $L_{n,a}$ one could define are no longer free. Thus there is no clear way to minimize the complex \mathbb{X}_a^A in a similar manner to the technique used in this section, except for the case $a = 1$ where \mathbb{X}_a^A is already minimal.

6. EXAMPLES

In this section we provide examples which illustrate our constructions for certain Koszul algebras. For simplicity, all our examples are commutative algebras defined by quadratic monomial ideals, but of course there are plenty of noncommutative examples as well. This class is known to yield Koszul algebras by [Frö99].

Example 6.1. Consider the following pair of dual Koszul algebras from Example 2.4

$$A = \frac{k[x, y, z]}{(x^2, xy, y^2)} \quad \text{and} \quad A^! = \frac{k\langle x^*, y^*, z^* \rangle}{((z^*)^2, x^*z^* + z^*x^*, y^*z^* + z^*y^*)}.$$

The graded pieces $(A^!)_n$ are spanned by the words of length n on the alphabet $\{x^*, y^*, z^*\}$ where the first letter is x^*, y^* or z^* and the other $n - 1$ are x^* or y^* , whence $\dim_k(A^!)_n = 3 \cdot 2^{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$. For $n \geq 1$, A_n is spanned by monomials of the form $(z^*)^n$, $x^*(z^*)^{n-1}$, and $y^*(z^*)^{n-1}$ so that $\dim_k A_n = 3$. Thus in this case both A and $A^!$ are infinite dimensional k -algebras.

The Priddy complex P_{\bullet}^A (2.5) consists of terms of the form

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= A \otimes_k k \\ P_n &= A \otimes_k k^{3 \cdot 2^{n-1}} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and the resolution of A over A^e viewed as a double complex (4.2) has terms

$$F_{i,j} = A \otimes_k k^{3 \cdot 2^{i-1}} \otimes_k A_j = \begin{cases} A^{3 \cdot 2^{i-1}} \otimes_A A & i \geq 1, j = 0 \\ A^{3 \cdot 2^{i-1}} \otimes_A A^3 & i \geq 1, j \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 5.5 reveals that the Betti numbers of \mathbf{m}^a are independent of a . Indeed for $a \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{n,n+a}(\mathbf{m}^a) &= \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} (-1)^{i+1} \cdot 9 \cdot 2^{n+i-1} + (-1)^{a+1} \cdot 3 \cdot 2^{n+a-1} \\ &= 9 \cdot 2^n \cdot \frac{1 - (-2)^{a-1}}{3} + (-1)^{a-1} \cdot 3 \cdot 2^{a+n-1} \\ &= 3 \cdot 2^n.\end{aligned}$$

Example 6.2. Consider the following complete intersection Koszul algebra

$$A = \frac{k[x, y, z]}{(x^2, y^2)} = \frac{k\langle x, y, z \rangle}{(x^2, y^2, xz - zx, xy - yx, yz - zy)}.$$

The Koszul dual algebra is given by

$$A^! = \frac{k\langle x^*, y^*, z^* \rangle}{((z^*)^2, x^*y^* + y^*x^*, x^*z^* + z^*x^*, y^*z^* + z^*y^*)}.$$

In this case we find that

$$F_{i,j} = A \otimes_k A_i^! \otimes_k A_j = \begin{cases} A^{2i+1}, & j = 0 \\ A^{3(2i+1)}, & j = 1 \\ A^{4(2i+1)}, & j \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

and therefore the ranks of the modules $L_{n,a}$ can be computed by noting that

$$\text{rank } L_{n,2} = -\text{rank } F_{n+2,0} + \text{rank } F_{n+1,1} = 4(n-1)$$

and for $a \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rank } L_{n,a+1} - \text{rank } L_{n,a} &= \sum_{i=1}^{a+2} (-1)^{i+1} \text{rank } F_{n+i,a+1-i} - \sum_{i=1}^a (-1)^{i+1} \text{rank } F_{n+i,a-i} \\ &= \pm [\text{rank } F_{a+n+1,0} - \text{rank } F_{a+n,1} + \text{rank } F_{a+n-1,2} - F_{a+n,0} - \text{rank } F_{a+n-1,1}] \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the Betti numbers of \mathbf{m}^a are independent of a for $a \geq 2$ and given by

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{n,n+1}^A(\mathbf{m}) &= \text{rank } L_{n,1} = \text{rank } F_{n+1,0} = 2n + 3 \\ \beta_{n,n+a}^A(\mathbf{m}^a) &= \text{rank } L_{n,a} = 4n + 4 \quad \text{for } a \geq 2.\end{aligned}$$

Example 6.3. Consider the following commutative Koszul algebra

$$A = \frac{k[x, y, z]}{(xy, xz)} = \frac{k\langle x, y, z \rangle}{(xy, xz, xz - zx, xy - yx, yz - zy)}.$$

The Koszul dual algebra is given by

$$A^! = \frac{k\langle x^*, y^*, z^* \rangle}{((x^*)^2, (y^*)^2, (z^*)^2, y^*z^* + z^*y^*)}$$

and its Hilbert function satisfies the Fibonacci recurrence $\dim A_{n+2} = \dim A_n + \dim A_{n+1}$. Indeed, setting $u(n)$ to be the number of monomials in $A^!$ of degree n ending in x and $v(n)$ to be the number of monomials in $A^!$ of degree n not ending in x^* , yields $u(n) = v(n-1)$ and $v(n) = 2u(n-1) + u(n-2)$. The second expression follows because the number of monomials ending in y^* or z^* where the previous letter is x^* is $2u(n-1)$ and the number of monomials ending in y^*z^* (or equivalently, z^*y^*) where the previous letter is x is $u(n-2)$. Thus this leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \dim A_{n+2} &= u(n+2) + v(n+2) = v(n+1) + 2u(n+1) + u(n) \\ &= v(n+1) + u(n+1) + v(n) + u(n) = \dim A_{n+1} + \dim A_n. \end{aligned}$$

The identity above in turn implies that the terms of the double complex as well as the free modules in the resolution of \mathfrak{m}^a satisfy similar recurrences

$$\text{rank } F_{n+2,a} = \text{rank } F_{n+1,a} + \text{rank } F_{n,a}, \quad \text{rank } L_{n+2,a} = \text{rank } L_{n+1,a} + \text{rank } L_{n,a}.$$

We conclude that the Fibonacci recurrence holds for Betti numbers

$$\beta_{n+2,n+2+a}^A(\mathfrak{m}^a) = \beta_{n+1,n+1+a}^A(\mathfrak{m}^a) + \beta_{n,n+a}^A(\mathfrak{m}^a) \text{ for } a \geq 1, n \geq 0$$

subject to the initial conditions $\beta_0^A(\mathfrak{m}^a) = a + 2$ and $\beta_1^A(\mathfrak{m}^a) = 2a + 3$. Solving the above recurrence yields closed formulas for these Betti numbers as follows

$$\beta_{n,n+a}^A(\mathfrak{m}^a) = \left(\frac{a+2}{2} + \frac{3a+4}{2\sqrt{5}} \right) \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n + \left(\frac{a+2}{2} - \frac{3a+4}{2\sqrt{5}} \right) \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n.$$

We now give an infinite resolution counterpart to a family of square-free monomial ideals that have appeared as ideals of the polynomial ring in work of Galetto [Gal20].

Example 6.4. Consider the dual pair of Koszul algebras

$$A = \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_d]}{(x_1^2, \dots, x_d^2)}, \quad \text{and} \quad A^! = \frac{k\langle x_1^*, \dots, x_d^* \rangle}{(x_i^*x_j^* + x_j^*x_i^*, 1 \leq i < j \leq d)},$$

where $\dim_k(A_j) = \binom{d}{j}$ and $\dim_k(A_i^!) = \binom{i+d-1}{d-1}$. Thus the terms in the double complex (4.2) are

$$F_{i,j} = A \otimes_k k \binom{i+d-1}{d-1} \otimes_k k \binom{d}{j}.$$

Notice that for $a \leq d$ the ideal \mathfrak{m}^a of A can be described as the ideal generated by all square-free monomials of degree a in A , while for $a > d$ we have $\mathfrak{m}^a = 0$. We compute the Betti numbers of this family of ideals using Corollary 5.5 as follows

$$(6.1) \quad \beta_{n,n+a}(\mathfrak{m}^a) = \sum_{i=1}^a (-1)^{i+1} \binom{n+i+d-1}{d-1} \binom{d}{a-i}$$

Note that $(-1)^{i+1} \binom{n+i+d-1}{d-1}$ is equal to $(-1)^{n-1}$ times the coefficient of t^{n+i} in the Taylor expansion of the rational function $\frac{1}{(1+t)^d}$ around 0. Similarly, $\binom{d}{a-i}$ is the coefficient of t^{a-i} in the binomial expansion of $(1+t)^d$. Since $\frac{1}{(1+t)^d} \cdot (1+t)^d = 1$, for $n+a > 0$, the coefficient of t^{n+a} in their product is 0, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=-n}^a (-1)^{n+i} \binom{n+i+d-1}{d-1} \binom{d}{a-i} = 0.$$

However, when $i < a-d$, the second binomial coefficient is 0, so this can be restated as

$$\sum_{i=a-d}^a (-1)^{n+i} \binom{n+i+d-1}{d-1} \binom{d}{a-i} = 0.$$

Combined with (6.1), the identity above leads to the more compact formula

$$\beta_{n,n+a}(\mathbf{m}^a) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=a-d}^0 (-1)^i \binom{n+i+d-1}{d-1} \binom{d}{a-i} & 1 \leq a \leq d \\ 0 & a \geq d+1. \end{cases}$$

This is consistent with $\mathbf{m}^a = 0$ for $a > d$ and can be easier to evaluate than (6.1) for some values of a . For example, setting $a = d$ yields

$$\beta_{n,n+d}(\mathbf{m}^d) = \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} \binom{d}{d}.$$

Acknowledgements. Our work started at the 2019 workshop "Women in Commutative Algebra" hosted by Banff International Research Station. We thank the organizers of this workshop for bringing our team together. We acknowledge the excellent working conditions provided by BIRS and the support of the National Science Foundation for travel through grant DMS-1934391. We thank the Association for Women in Mathematics for funding from grant NSF-HRD 1500481.

In addition, we have the following individual acknowledgements for support: Faber was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 789580. Miller was partially supported by the NSF DMS-1003384. R.G.'s travel was partially supported by an AMS-Simons Travel Grant. Seceleanu was partially supported by NSF DMS-1601024.

We thank Liana Şega for helpful comments and for bringing [Ş01] to our attention and Ben Briggs for answering a question and pointing us to [VdB94].

REFERENCES

- [BCK⁺66] A. K. Bousfield, E. B. Curtis, D. M. Kan, D. G. Quillen, D. L. Rector, and J. W. Schlesinger, *The mod $-p$ lower central series and the Adams spectral sequence*, *Topology* **5** (1966), 331–342. MR 199862

- [BE75] David A. Buchsbaum and David Eisenbud, *Generic free resolutions and a family of generically perfect ideals*, *Advances in Math.* **18** (1975), no. 3, 245–301. MR 396528
- [BGG78] I. N. Bernšteĭn, I. M. Gel’fand, and S. I. Gel’fand, *Algebraic vector bundles on \mathbf{P}^n and problems of linear algebra*, *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **12** (1978), no. 3, 66–67. MR 509387
- [BGS96] Alexander Beilinson, Victor Ginzburg, and Wolfgang Soergel, *Koszul duality patterns in representation theory*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), no. 2, 473–527. MR 1322847
- [Con14] Aldo Conca, *Koszul algebras and their syzygies*, *Combinatorial algebraic geometry*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 2108, Springer, Cham, 2014, pp. 1–31. MR 3329085
- [Ş01] Liana M. Şega, *Homological properties of powers of the maximal ideal of a local ring*, *J. Algebra* **241** (2001), no. 2, 827–858. MR 1843329
- [CTV01] Aldo Conca, Ngô Viêt Trung, and Giuseppe Valla, *Koszul property for points in projective spaces*, *Math. Scand.* **89** (2001), no. 2, 201–216. MR 1868173
- [EFS03] David Eisenbud, Gunnar Fløystad, and Frank-Olaf Schreyer, *Sheaf cohomology and free resolutions over exterior algebras*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **355** (2003), no. 11, 4397–4426. MR 1990756
- [Frö99] R. Fröberg, *Koszul algebras*, *Advances in commutative ring theory (Fez, 1997)*, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, vol. 205, Dekker, New York, 1999, pp. 337–350.
- [Gal20] Federico Galetto, *On the ideal generated by all squarefree monomials of a given degree*, *J. Commut. Algebra* **12** (2020), no. 2, 199–215. MR 4105544
- [GKM98] Mark Goresky, Robert Kottwitz, and Robert MacPherson, *Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem*, *Invent. Math.* **131** (1998), no. 1, 25–83. MR 1489894
- [Man88] Yu. I. Manin, *Quantum groups and noncommutative geometry*, *Université de Montréal, Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Montreal, QC*, 1988. MR 1016381
- [May66] J. P. May, *The cohomology of restricted Lie algebras and of Hopf algebras*, *J. Algebra* **3** (1966), 123–146. MR 193126
- [MP15] Jason McCullough and Irena Peeva, *Infinite graded free resolutions*, *Commutative algebra and noncommutative algebraic geometry. Vol. I*, *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, vol. 67, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2015, pp. 215–257. MR 3525473
- [MR18] Claudia Miller and Hamidreza Rahmati, *Free resolutions of Artinian compressed algebras*, *J. Algebra* **497** (2018), 270–301. MR 3743182
- [Pos14] Leonid Positselski, *Galois cohomology of a number field is Koszul*, *J. Number Theory* **145** (2014), 126–152. MR 3253297
- [PP05] Alexander Polishchuk and Leonid Positselski, *Quadratic algebras*, *University Lecture Series*, vol. 37, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. MR 2177131
- [Pri70] Stewart B. Priddy, *Koszul resolutions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **152** (1970), 39–60. MR 265437
- [Tat57] John Tate, *Homology of Noetherian rings and local rings*, *Illinois J. Math.* **1** (1957), 14–27. MR 86072
- [VdB94] Michel Van den Bergh, *Noncommutative homology of some three-dimensional quantum spaces*, *Proceedings of Conference on Algebraic Geometry and Ring Theory in honor of Michael Artin, Part III (Antwerp, 1992)*, vol. 8, 1994, pp. 213–230. MR 1291019

- [Wei94] Charles A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. MR 1269324

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS, LEEDS, LS2 9JT, UK
Email address: `e.m.faber@leeds.ac.uk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OSNABRÜCK, GERMANY
Email address: `juhnke-kubitzke@uni-osnabrueck.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVEY MUDD COLLEGE, CLAREMONT, CA 91711
Email address: `hlindo@hmc.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, SYRACUSE, NY 13244
Email address: `clamille@syr.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY, FAIRFAX,
VA 22030
Email address: `rrebhuhn@gmu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA-LINCOLN, LINCOLN,
NE 68588
Email address: `aseceleanu@unl.edu`